# The expanding middle 

The small band of middle market CLO managers employ a growing range of strategies - from
direct lending-style origination to dabbling in broadly syndicated loans - as they build out deals
by Tanvi Gupta

Asset sourcing is an important skill in the broadly syndicated loan (BSL) CLO market, but it's perhaps even more important for managers of middle market CLOs. They talk at length about having a differentiated approach, and this is validated by our analysis, which shows that the overlap between mid market US CLOs is approximately $5 \%$.
There are two facets to investing in mid market loans: managers can either directly originate loans (to create unique exposures in their CLOs), or they can participate in club deals. Churchill Asset Management is active in both markets and Ken Kencel, the firm's chief executive officer, argues that strong relations are key.
"Churchill's origination strategy is centred on the direct coverage of the US middle market private equity community," he says. "Our strategy is augmented by direct coverage of our key co-lenders. This dualsourcing approach ensures that Churchill can select its investments from the widest array of potential opportunities."

Churchill's head of product development
and capital raising David Heilbrunn says that an emphasis on direct origination can generate strong returns. "Middle market CLO managers originate a good portion of their deals relative to broadly syndicated loan managers, and there is significantly more opportunity for top managers to differentiate their portfolios."

Comparing 2017 CLOs launched by 14 managers, we found the highest portfolio overlap (as of April 2018) was between Midcap Financial's three deals (Woodmont 2017-1, Woodmont 2017-2 and Woodmont 2017-3) and two deals managed by Antares Capital (Antares CLO 2O17-1 and Antares

## Overlap methodology

To analyse CLO portfolio overlap, we look at loans held by 2017 middle market CLOs at April 2018. For each pair of managers, the overlap figure is generated from a comparison of the weighted average of the common loans across all CLOs of that vintage from those managers.

CLO 2017-2). The managers have a portfolio overlap of $19.68 \%$. (See matrix, below.)

According to CLO-i data, Antares's biggest position is Pegasus Transtech Antares 2017-1 has an exposure of \$16.5 million to the company's loans, while Antares 2017-2 holds \$10 million. Pegasus Transtech is a software company focused on document management. The fact that it represents Antares's biggest CLO position should not come as a surprise - Antares was lead arranger on the company's \$145 million loan issue in November.

## Mid market and BSL overlap

Similarly, Antares was the lead arranger on a $\$ 92$ million add-on term loan issued by Nellson Nutraceutical (Knel Holdings) as part of the nutrition bar producer's \$292 million loan repricing in October. The loans have proven popular with mid market and BSL CLO managers alike, with several firms subscribing (see chart, page 17).

Other mid market CLO managers participate in less liquid loans. Cerberus Capital Management, for instance, has very little overlap with other managers, and with good

| Middle market CLO managers portfolio overlap in 2017 CLOs (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{U} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \text { Dĩ } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{7} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 10 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{y} \\ & \frac{1}{\grave{y}} \\ & \bar{\vdots} \\ & \underline{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亡 } \\ & \text { Ñ } \\ & \text { s } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |
| AB Private Credit | - | 1.74\% | 0.00\% | 1.45\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.13\% | 0.00\% | 1.74\% | 1.99\% | 0.00\% | 2.92\% | 1.42\% | 1.36\% |
| Antares | 1.74\% | - | 3.73\% | 5.29\% | 0.00\% | 11.39\% | 2.07\% | 2.09\% | 12.29\% | 10.25\% | 11.03\% | 19.68\% | 6.81\% | 11.31\% |
| ArrowMark | 0.00\% | 3.73\% | - | 0.00\% | 2.82\% | 8.82\% | 9.48\% | 0.00\% | 5.08\% | 0.76\% | 7.73\% | 0.14\% | 9.08\% | 6.01\% |
| Barings | 1.45\% | 5.29\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% | 6.09\% | 1.17\% | 2.19\% | 8.71\% | 1.42\% | 8.99\% | 1.94\% | 11.52\% | 6.54\% |
| Cerberus | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.82\% | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% | 5.36\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.37\% | 1.63\% | 0.82\% |
| Churchill AM | 0.00\% | 11.39\% | 8.82\% | 6.09\% | 0.00\% | - | 1.30\% | 9.04\% | 1.88\% | 2.96\% | 9.77\% | 3.57\% | 15.27\% | 10.81\% |
| Fortress | 1.13\% | 2.07\% | 9.48\% | 1.17\% | 5.36\% | 1.30\% | - | 0.98\% | 2.07\% | 0.32\% | 1.47\% | 2.65\% | 1.77\% | 5.06\% |
| Golub | 0.00\% | 2.09\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% | 0.00\% | 9.04\% | 0.98\% | - | 13.20\% | 3.18\% | 1.56\% | 2.93\% | 0.00\% | 1.28\% |
| Ivy Hill (Ares) | 1.74\% | 12.29\% | 5.08\% | 8.71\% | 0.00\% | 1.88\% | 2.07\% | 13.20\% | - | 6.54\% | 15.13\% | 5.52\% | 7.52\% | 7.39\% |
| Madison Capital | 1.99\% | 10.25\% | 0.76\% | 1.42\% | 0.00\% | 2.96\% | 0.32\% | 3.18\% | 6.54\% | - | 11.15\% | 11.27\% | 3.99\% | 10.88\% |
| MM Credit Fund (Carlyle) | 0.00\% | 11.03\% | 7.73\% | 8.99\% | 0.00\% | 9.77\% | 1.47\% | 1.56\% | 15.13\% | 11.15\% | - | 4.59\% | 16.49\% | 15.76\% |
| Midcap (Apollo) | 2.92\% | 19.68\% | 0.14\% | 1.94\% | 1.37\% | 3.57\% | 2.65\% | 2.93\% | 5.52\% | 11.27\% | 4.59\% | - | 3.71\% | 11.34\% |
| Monroe | 1.42\% | 6.81\% | 9.08\% | 11.52\% | 1.63\% | 15.27\% | 1.77\% | 0.00\% | 7.52\% | 3.99\% | 16.49\% | 3.71\% | - | 6.31\% |
| NewStar | 1.36\% | 11.31\% | 6.01\% | 6.54\% | 0.82\% | 10.81\% | 5.06\% | 1.28\% | 7.39\% | 10.88\% | 15.76\% | 11.34\% | 6.31\% | - |
| Source: CLO-i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Middle market portfolios at a glance (Q2 2018) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deal | Manager | Deal size | Average | Average Coupon | WAS | Warf | Largest hodiding (sm) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ABRClIirect } \\ & \text { Lending fund } \\ & \text { CLO.II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AB Private } \\ & \text { Creadit } \end{aligned}$ | 348.9 | 5.5 | 8.53 | 6.87\%* | $32.19 *$ | Shopatron (9.26) |
| $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Antares CLO } \\ 2017-1 \end{array}$ | Antares | 2,113.9 | 7.5 | 6.81 | 4.75\% | 3,5 | Knel Holdings (16.7) |
| ${ }_{2017}^{\text {Antares } \mathrm{ClO}}$ |  | 1,208.8 | 8.4 | 6.80 | 4.73\% | 3,335* | Carr Management. Pegasus Transtech, Plaskolite, eerry Then Plastics Charkit Chemical) Stanton Carpet (each 10.0) |
| Peaks CLO2 | ArrowMark | 159.4 | 0.9 | 7.20 | 5.12\% | 3,324 | Extreme Reach (2.7) |
| ${ }_{2017-1}^{\text {Barings MMCLO }}$ | Barings | 503.2 | 6.2 | 6.83 | 4.89\% | 3,663 | Port Townsend Paper Cor |
| Cerberus Loan Funding XVIII |  | 6043 | 7.6 | 9.25 | 7.45\% | 3,868 | Foundation Consumer Healthcare (17.96) |
| Cerberus Loan <br> Funding XIX | Cerberus | 300.4 | ${ }^{3.3}$ | 9.01 | 7.42\% | 3,983 | System1(8.89) |
| Cerberus Loan <br> Funding XXI |  | 535.3 | 6.3 | 9.04 | 7.49\% | 3,790 | Lionbridge Technologies (15.87) |
| TLAA Churchill Middle Market CLOII | Churchill AM | 340.3 | 5.1 | 5.56 | 4.9\% |  | Community Health Systems (9.88) |
| Fortress Credit Opportunities IX | Fortress | 0.0 | 8.2 | 7.67 | 5.85\% | 3,528 | $\underset{(74.80)}{\text { Midcap financial holdings }}$ |
| Golub Capital Partners CLO ${ }_{34}$ (M) | Golub | 1.4 | 3.9 | 7.81 | 5.78\% | 3,708 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Diligent Corporation } \\(10.34)}}{ }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ivy Hill Middle } \\ & \text { Market Credit } \\ & \text { Fund XII } \end{aligned}$ | Iny Hill(Ares) | 381.3 | 1.2 | 6.80 | 5.36\% | 3,533 | Tronair (5.55) |
| mcF clovi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Madison } \\ \text { Capital } \end{gathered}$ | 324.9 | 4.6 | 7.13 | 5.04\%" | $3441^{1 *}$ | Veritext Corp |
| Woodmont 2017- <br> 1 Trust | $\underset{\substack{\text { Midcap } \\ \text { Apolio }}}{\text { a }}$ | 506.2 | 6.5 | 7.27 | 5.2\% | 3,416 | Circustrix Holdings (14.81) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Woodmont } 2017 \text { - } \\ & 2 \text { Trust } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,212.2 | 10.1 | 7.17 | 5.39\% | 3,434 | Golden Pear, Genesis Healthcare (35.00) |
| Woodmont 2017- <br> 3 Trust |  | 353.3 | 2.9 | 7.10 | 5.20\% | 3,557 | TG Midco (10.42 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MMCFCLO } \\ & \text { 2017-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Midalle } \\ & \text { Market Credit } \\ & \text { Fund (Carlylye) } \end{aligned}$ | 399.9 | 6.5 | 7.30 | 4.87\% |  | $\underset{(15.97)}{\substack{\text { Turbocombuster Technology }}}$ |
| Morroe Capital | Morroe | 405.0 | 3.6 | 6.62 | 4.00\% | 3,224 | iPayment (5.47) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NewStar } \\ & \text { Commercial Loan } \\ & \text { Funding 2017-1 } \end{aligned}$ | NewStar | 397.3 | 2.7 | 6.98 | 4.9\% | 3,515 | Berry Plastics Corporation $(12.31)$ |

eason: the New York-based manager is nown to invest in a high proportion of riple-C rated loans. Its overlap with the rest of the market is just $0.9 \%$
In theory, there should be a clear bans (BSL) and mid market loans, but practice the line is blurred. We highlight 0 loans that are widely held among mid market CLOs and find that several also appear in BSL CLOs
Col giants such as MJX Asset Manag ment and Octagon Credit Investors are
holding some mid market loans (admitte this exposure is not particularly high), while Nassau Credit, a new CLO manager, has also dabbled in this space.
Loans from American Teleconferencing gement and Neuberger Berman, which ar considered to be among the most conservative CLO managers, hold $\$ 19.3$ million
nd $\$ 16.8$ milion of the company's debt. In he middle market, Cerberus, Midcap and OFS Management are the biggest invesissued $\$ 165$ million of debt in March 2017 via Deutsche Bank and co-lenders Barclays, Macquarie and SunTrust. A $\$ 115$ million tranche pays Libor plus 650bp
Mid market offers increased returns here is a growing interest in midcap loans CLOs frequently adding them to their portfolios in a bid to juice up equity returns. Triple A investors by nature are very risk averse, but they're also moving into mid market CLOs for the first time," says one 2017 mid market CLO
2017 mid market CLO portfolios have a and $7.5 \%$, while the deals on average had a cost of debt of $2.4 \%$. Mid market loans

Selected mid market loans by manager holding (\$m)

owever, are judged to be riskier from atings perspective, with warf levels above According to Jeremy VanDerMe eago-based porttolio manager at Monroe Capital, it's a two-way street when it comes o BSL managers and mid market manag rs investing in similar loans. "There are mid market CLO managers who, rather than at the small end of the broadly syndicate ban spectrum," he says.
In 2017, 17 managers priced new mid
market deals, including Antares and Baring who were both pricing their first 2.0 mid be more first-time issuers, with THL Credit Partners and Medley Management looking to print deals.
Europe to see first deal since crisis In Europe the landscape has been barren,
with zero issuance since the financial crisis But that is set to change. BeSpoke Capital, a Dublin-based lender, is ramping up a new CLO via RBS. Founder Lars Schmidt-Ott Creditifux July 2018
claims Spanish loans are most suited to a CLO structure. "German loans were a popu lar choice in the past but now, for similar the comparable 690bp in Spain."
BeSpoke has made significant progress in ramping up its new CLO, according to Schmidt-Ott. "The warehouse is open and we have been ramped up $€ 200$ million", he
says. "We aim to do $€ 200$ million more and expect to price by Q4."
Many US managers see potential in Europe. According to Monroe's VanDerMeid
several midale market managers are looking the etabistica presence in Europe despite tors in each country. There are rumours of a couple of mid market CLOs being planned in Europe onere
BeSpoke issues its deal. But for now there BeSpoke issues its deal. But for now there
is plenty of activity in the US. In the first six is plenty of activity in the US. In the first six months of 2018 there has been $\$ 8.5$ billion
of US mid market CLO issuance. Given the compact field of managers and the variation in deal sourcing methods, there seems to be plenty of space for new entrants.

